Filed 07/17/25 Page 1 of 5 PageID:

23 West 73<sup>rd</sup> Street Suite 102 New York, NY 10023 T: (212) 706-1007 F: (646) 849-0033 lawdss.com

November 10, 2022

### Via CEF

Daniel Szalkiewicz

& Associates

United States Magistrate Judge Frank R. Lautenberg U.S. Post Office & Courthouse Building 2 Federal Square Newark, NJ 07102

Re: John Doe v. Baila Sebrow

United States District Court, District of New Jersey

Case No.: 2:21-cv-20706

Dear Magistrate Kiel,

We represent the plaintiff in the above-referenced matter. I apologize for the tardiness of this submission, but our office has still not received consent from the defendant to submit a proposed joint order. So that the court has an order for today's conference, I am uploading a copy of Plaintiff's proposed order that was sent to the defendant's counsel on November 7, 2022. To date, the defendant's counsel has not provided us with any feedback on the contents of the order.

Thank you in advance.

Very Truly Yours,

DANIEL SZALKIEWICZ & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

By: Daniel S. Szalkiewicz, Esq.

daniel@lawdss.com

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

John Doe,

Plaintiff,

V.

Baila Sebrow

Defendant

Case No. 2:21-cv-20706

JOINT PROPOSED DISCOVERY PLAN

1. Set forth the name of each attorney appearing, the firm name, address and telephone number and facsimile number of each, designating the party represented.

## **For Plaintiff John Doe:**

Daniel S. Szalkiewicz, Esq. Daniel Szalkiewicz & Associates, P.C. 23 W. 73rd Street, Suite 102 New York, New York 10023

Tel: (212) 706-1007 Fax: (646) 849-0033 Borenstein, McConnell & Calpin, P.C.

155 Morris Avenue, Suite 201 Springfield, New Jersey 07081

Tel: (973) 379-2444 Fax: (973) 788-8600

### For Defendant Baila Sebrow

Ira W. Heller, Esq. Ira Heller Law, LLC 1317 Morris Avenue Union, NJ 07083

Tel: 908-275-8626 Fax: 908-349-3005

2. Set forth a brief description of the case, including the causes of action and defenses asserted.

Plaintiff alleges Defendant engaged in a three-year course of harassment towards him through the continuous sending of harassing text messages from spoofed accounts. After Plaintiff ignored Defendant's text messages, Defendant began defaming Plaintiff to third parties. She then enlisted the help of two other individuals to create fake and defamatory websites concerning the Plaintiff.

Plaintiff's first cause of action alleges harassment pursuant to N.J. Stat. § 2C:33-4 and § 2C:33-4 1343, 18 USCS § 1343. Plaintiff's second cause of action alleges defamation and third cause of action is for intentional infliction of emotional distress. Plaintiff's fourth cause of action alleges violations of N.J. Stat. § 2C:28-1 and N.J. Stat. § 2C:28-2 and the fifth cause of action is for tortious interference with Plaintiff's business through the posting of the false and defamatory websites. Plaintiff's sixth cause of action alleges tortious interference with Plaintiff's future business prospects and seventh cause of action is for punitive damages. Plaintiff's eighth, and final cause of action is for defamation per se.

Defendant has filed a counterclaim alleging three causes of action that are currently the subject of a motion to dismiss.

bje	ct of a motion to dismiss.					
3	. Have settlement discussions taken place? YesX No					
(8	<ul> <li>(1) Monetary demand: \$ 10,000,000</li> <li>(2) Non-monetary demand: Full retraction of statements and agreement not to repost and defame plaintiff in the future.</li> </ul>					
(1	(1) Monetary offer: \$\N/A (2) Non-monetary offer:N/A					
4	The parties have not met pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f).					
5	The Plaintiff has exchanged the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1). If not state the reason therefor. Defendant has not exchanged the information.					
6	Explain any problems in connection with completing the disclosures required by Fed R Civ. P. 26(a)(1)					
	The Plaintiff does not anticipate any problems with completing disclosures.					
7	The Plaintiff has filed disclosures of third-party litigation funding. See Local Civil Rule 7.1.1.					

8. The parties have not conducted discovery other than the above disclosures. If so,

describe.

9. Proposed joint discovery plan:

- (a) Discovery is needed on the following subjects:
  Plaintiff seeks discovery into defendant's statements.
- (b) Discovery should not be conducted in phases or be limited to particular issues. Explain.
- (c) Proposed schedule:
  - (1) Fed. R. Civ. P. 26 Disclosures: Defendant to provide disclosures by November 12, 2022
  - (2) E-Discovery conference pursuant to L. Civ. R. 26.1(d) on or before November 19, 2022
  - (3) Service of initial written discovery on or before November 19, 2022.
  - (4) Maximum of <u>15</u> Interrogatories by each party to each other party.
  - (5) Maximum of 5 depositions to be taken by each party.
  - (6) Motions to amend or to add parties to be filed by <u>30 days after completion of discovery</u>.
  - (7) Factual discovery to be completed by February 17, 2023.
  - (8) Plaintiff's expert report due on February 28, 2023.
  - (9) Defendant's expert report due on March 17, 2023.
  - (10) Expert depositions to be completed by April 17, 2023.
  - (11) Dispositive motions to be served within <u>30</u> days of completion of discovery.
- (d) Set forth any special discovery mechanism or procedure requested. None.
- (e) A pretrial conference may take place on May 30, 2023.
- (f) Trial date: June 15, 2023 ( <u>x</u> Jury Trial).

# 

10. Do :	ou anticipate any specia	l discovery needs (i.e.	, videotape/tele	phone deposi	tions,
	lems with out-of-state we explain.	itnesses or documents	s, etc)? Yes	Nox_	If so
	rou anticipate any issues rmation, including the for Yes No	rm or forms in which			red
reached by	vill electronic discovery of the parties regarding sam reements, etc.			, ,	
•	you anticipate entry of a lendix S.	Discovery Confidentia	ality Order? See	e L.Civ.R. 5.3	(b) and
	ou anticipate any discov_x	very problem(s) not lis	ted above? Des	cribe. Yes	
Rule If no time	e whether this case is app 201.1 or otherwise) or r t, explain why and state (i.e., after exchange of position or dispositive mo	mediation (pursuant to whether any such pro pretrial disclosures, af	Local Civil Rucedure may be	ile 301.1 or of appropriate at	therwise). t a later
Yes					
15. Is th	is case appropriate for bi	furcation? Yes	No	X	
	nterim status/settlement och 1, 2022.	conference (with clier	nts in attendance	e), should be	held in
	do _x_ do not istrate Judge.	] consent to	the trial being c	onducted by a	1
18. Ide Non	ntify any other issues to a	address at the Rule 16	Scheduling Co.	nference.	
An					
Daniel S. Sa	zalkiewicz, Esq.				
<del></del>					
Ira Heller H	SO				